

# **Tensor Wheel Decomposition and Its Tensor Completion** Application

Zhong-Cheng Wu<sup>1</sup>, Ting-Zhu Huang<sup>\*,1</sup>, Liang-Jian Deng<sup>\*,1</sup>, Hong-Xia Dou<sup>2</sup>, Deyu Meng<sup>3,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, <sup>2</sup>Xihua University, <sup>3</sup>Xi'an Jiaotong University, <sup>4</sup>Pazhou Laboratory (Huangpu)

## Introduction

**Tensor network (TN) decomposition** is prominent for machine learning. However, TN models developing increasingly sophisticated topology to pursue incremental gains, which leads to a dramatic increase in rank numbers, thus encountering laborious hyper-parameter selection.



## **Contributions:**

- We analytically propose a novel TW decomposition, which allows more expressive characterization for correlation than TT and TR formats, especially preserving the linear scaling for the number of edges with increased tensor dimension.
- based TC model, abbreviated TW-TC.



## **Tensor Wheel Decomposition**



**Tensor wheel (TW) decomposition** aims to parameterize an *N*th-order by both *N* fourth-order ring factors  $\mathcal{G}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{R_k \times I_k \times L_k \times R_{k+1}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and an *N*th-order core factor  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_k \times \cdots \times L_N}$ . Mathematically,

### • element-wise relation:

$$\mathcal{C}(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_N) = \sum_{r_1=1}^{R_1} \sum_{r_2=1}^{R_2} \cdots \sum_{r_N=1}^{R_N} \sum_{l_1=1}^{L_1} \cdots \sum_{l_N=1}^{L_N} \{ \mathcal{G}_1(r_1, i_1, l_1, r_2) \mathcal{G}_2(r_2, i_2, l_2, r_3) \cdots \\ \mathcal{G}_k(r_k, i_k, l_k, r_{k+1}) \cdots \mathcal{G}_N(r_N, i_N, l_N, r_1) \mathcal{C}(l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_N) \},$$

## • tensor-form relation:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_{k}^{(t+1)} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathcal{G}_{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{X}^{(t)} - \operatorname{TW} \llbracket \mathcal{G}_{1:k-1}^{(t+1)}, \mathcal{G}_{k}, \mathcal{G}_{k+1:N}^{(t)}; \mathcal{C}^{(t)} \rrbracket \|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \| \mathcal{G}_{k} - \mathcal{G}_{k}^{(t)} \|_{F}^{2} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{(t+1)} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{X}^{(t)} - \operatorname{TW} \llbracket \mathcal{G}_{1:N}^{(t+1)}; \mathcal{C} \rrbracket \|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \| \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}^{(t)} \|_{F}^{2} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{X}^{(t+1)} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathcal{X}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{X} - \operatorname{TW} \llbracket \mathcal{G}_{1:N}^{(t+1)}; \mathcal{C}^{(t+1)} \rrbracket \|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \| \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}^{(t)} \|_{F}^{2} + \iota(\mathcal{X}) \right\}. \end{cases}$$



Inner TW-ranks L (a) PSNR versus inner TW-ranks (i.e.,  $L = L_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ ) when outer TW-ranks (i.e.,  $R = R_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ ) and all TR-ranks are 6.





## Results

### • Synthetic data completion:





### Discussions:





(c) The number of hyper-parameters (i.e., ranks) of FCTN and TW decompositions against tensor dimension.